

# **Breeding Stress Tolerant Corn For Food, Feed And Silage**

## **- Report of 2011 Corn Breeding Program Results**

**PI:** Dr. Wenwei Xu, Texas AgriLife Research, 1102 East FM 1294, Lubbock, Texas 79403. Tel.: 806-746-4015, Fax 806-746-6528, Email we-xu@tamu.edu.

**Co-PI:** Dr. Gary Odvody, Plant Pathologist, Texas AgriLife Research, Corpus Christi, TX; Mr. Thomas Marek, Irrigation Engineer, Texas AgriLife Research -Amarillo Center.

**Collaborators:** Dr. Seth Murray, Assistant Professor, TAMU, College Station; Dr. Christian Nansen, Entomologist, Texas AgriLife Research, Lubbock; Dr. Paul Williams, USDA-ARS, Mississippi; and seed companies.



**March, 2012**

**Technical Report LB-AREC 2012-04**  
**Texas A&M AgriLife Research, Lubbock**

**Final Report for TCPB Research**  
**(January 1– December 6, 2011)**

**A. Project Title:** Breeding stress tolerant corn for food, feed and silage

**B. Principal investigator:** Dr. Wenwei Xu, Texas AgriLife Research, 1102 East FM 1294, Lubbock, Texas 79403. Tel.: 806-746-4015, Fax 806-746-6528, Email we-xu@tamu.edu.

**Co-Principal Investigators:** Dr. Gary Odvody, Plant Pathologist, Texas AgriLife Research, Corpus Christi, TX; Mr. Thomas Marek, Irrigation Engineer, Texas AgriLife Research - Amarillo.

**Cooperators:** Dr. Seth Murray, Assistant Professor, TAMU, College Station; Dr. Christian Nansen, Entomologist, Texas AgriLife Research, Lubbock; Dr. Paul Williams, USDA-ARS, Mississippi; and seed companies

**C. Objectives:**

Specific objectives are: (1) to develop inbred lines tolerant to drought/heat and resistant to earworm and mites through introgression of tropical corn germplasm; (2) to develop drought/heat tolerant and insect resistant and high yielding corn hybrids, (3) to identify low-aflatoxin and high-yielding hybrids from our multiple stress tolerant corn, and (4) to determine the optimum irrigation and plant population for new corn hybrids. We will also conduct state silage corn performance trials in the Texas High Plains.

**D. Research Activities and Major Accomplishments:**

**Adverse environments and poor seed production:** The year 2011 was a very challenging year for both corn research and production. The record drought stress, low temperature one week after planting (last two weeks of April and first few days of May), and prolonged high temperatures throughout the season posed various stresses for the corn plants. Three tests at Helms Farm in halfway were abandoned due to the problems in our drip irrigation system. In addition to the high temperatures and drought stress, corn earworm and fall armyworm pressure were very high in the breeding nursery. As a result, seed set in hand-pollinated nursery plots and isolation plots for hybrid seed production was very poor. Even with

these challenges, we were able to advance hundreds of lines. Hybrid seeds were re-produced in the winter nursery.

**Yield trials:** A total of 20,000 small research plots were planted at Etter, Halfway, New Deal, Lubbock, Corpus Christi, College Station, and Weslaco. Inbred lines have been developed from the breeding crosses ANTIGO01:N16, AR03056:N0902, BR52051:N04, CH05012:N12, CUBA164:S20, CUBA117:S15, DK888:N11, DK888:Na08f, DKXL380:S08a, FS8A(T):N11a, FS8A(T):N1801, GUAT209:N1925 SCROGP3:N2017, and SCROPG3:N1411a. About 100 testcrosses between GEM lines (developed in Lubbock) with public and licensed proprietary lines were evaluated for grain yield, CEW resistance, and drought tolerance in the Texas High Plains (Lubbock, Halfway, and Dumas) under well-watered and drought conditions and in the south-central Texas (College Station, Corpus Christi, Ganado, Uvalde, and Wharton).

The hybrids of the GEM lines developed from BR52051:N04, CUBA117:S15, DK888:N11 produced grain yield comparable to commercial hybrids (Table 1 and 2). These hybrids yield showed. The hybrids of the lines derived from BR52051:N04 and CUBA117:S15 also had excellent grain quality. Aflatoxin resistance of the GEM-line hybrids were tested in the SERAT tests for aflatoxin and yield performance in 2011. The SERAT is a multi-state aflatoxin testing network. Experimental hybrids from the public breeders in the Georgia, Mississippi and Texas are tested along with commercial check hybrids in multiple states. Each location usually use three replication, and plants are inoculated with high aflatoxin producing strains of *A. flavus*. Two of our experimental hybrids Tx-WX11-4 and Tx-WX-11-9 had consistently low aflatoxin at College Station, TX and Starkville, MS in 2011 (Table 2). Tx-WX-11-4 is the testcross of a line developed from BR52051:N04 while Tx-WX-11-9 is the testcross of a line derived from and CUBA117:S15.

Inbred lines from ANTIGO01:N16 have nice looking plants and excellent grain quality with low grain molds, however, these lines have a high ear placement. Their testcrosses shed pollens close to the hybrids with a relative maturity of 117 day and yield slightly lower than commercial checks. The hybrids of ANTIGO:N16 performed well under severe drought stress and hot environment (Table 3).

The brown midrib lines with the background of GUAT209:N1925 (*bm1*) and DK888:N11 (*bm3*) are being advanced to a higher generation and will be test for silage yield and quality in 2012.

Four testcrosses of GEM lines were included in the 2011 Texas State Silage Corn Performance Test in the High Plains. WXY10F is the testcross of a brown midrib line that was developed from a breeding cross made with the GEM GUAT209:N19. WXY11C is the testcross of a line derived from BR52051:N04. WXY11D and WXY11E are the testcrosses of a line from CUBA117:S15. WXY11C produced a forage yield of 35.7 tons/a, ranked second among 43 entries. Yield, agronomic data, and silage quality of these testcrosses and other commercial hybrids are shown in Tables 4. The hybrids of the GEM lines usually produce tall and robust plants. When grain yield is high, these hybrids are suitable for high tonnage and high quality silage corn production.

**Aflatoxin tests at Corpus Christi, Halfway, and Weslaco:** A tests of 50 hybrids were inoculated with *A. flavus*. Teosinte is an important genetic source to improve maize for abiotic and biotic stress tolerance. Testcrosses from teosinte-derived lines (teo-lines) have been observed in the field with ears having less mold growth than commercial hybrids. Forty-five testcrosses of the teo-lines and five commercial hybrids were tested in multiple locations. A randomized complete block design with two replications was used. Each plot consisted of two-rows, and size varied among locations. The plants were inoculated by spreading *A. flavus* colonized kernels between rows at Weslaco, or by injecting conidia solution into the silk channel. Ten inoculated ears per plot were harvested for aflatoxin analysis and ear traits. The remaining plants were combined for yield. The grain samples were used in the Vicam fluorometer assay for aflatoxin. Statistical analyses were performed in SAS. Testcrosses of the teo-lines yielded as high, or higher, than commercial hybrids across multiple locations and years. Some are also more resistant to aflatoxin accumulation than other hybrids. The majority of the testcrosses that are aflatoxin resistant also have yields that are not statistically different than the commercial checks. This finding was presented at the 2011 International Meeting of ASA-CAAS-SSSA Annual Meetings in San Antonio, TX on October 16-20, 2011 (Appendix 1).

**Breeding and testing silage corn:** I managed and conducted a state corn silage performance test with 35 entries at Halfway (29 commercial and 8 AgriLife experimental) and 43

entries (35 commercial and 8 AgriLife experimental hybrids) at the North Plains Research Field at Etter. The Etter test looked good while Halfway test was not uniform due to sloppy field and limited irrigation. The final report was published as technical report (Appendix 2) and is available online at Texas Crop Performance Test website (<http://varietytesting.tamu.edu/corn/>).

#### **E. Publications:**

1. Wenwei Xu, Xu Guoliang, Li Shuhua, Yingen Xue, Cai Zhuo. 2011. Breeding maize hybrids for high silage yield and quality. *Journal of Maize Sciences*.19(3):1-6.
2. Wenwei Xu, Thomas Marek, Yongtao Yu, Andy Cranmer, Brent Bean, and Dennis Pietsch. 2011. 2011 State Silage Corn Performance Test on the Texas High Plains. Texas AgriLife Research and Extension-Lubbock Center Technical Report No.11-4. pp.8.
3. Wenwei Xu, Gary Odvody, and Paul Williams. Use of GEM Germplasm for Evaluation and Development of Drought Tolerance, Corn. [http://www.public.iastate.edu/~usda-gem/Public\\_Reports/Yr\\_2011/Xu%20GEM%20Report.pdf](http://www.public.iastate.edu/~usda-gem/Public_Reports/Yr_2011/Xu%20GEM%20Report.pdf). pp.7
4. Teresa A. Gaus, Wenwei Xu, and Thomas Thompson. 2011. The role of corn silage in Texas' growing dairy industry. *Progressive Dairyman*. November 15, 2011. <http://www.progressivedairymanmagazine.com>.
5. Chen, J., J. Burke, W. Xu, and G. Burrow. 2011. Dissection of high temperature tolerance traits of maize. *Maize Genetics Conference Abstracts*. 53:P214.
6. Chen, J., W. Xu, and Z. Xin. 2011. Development of drought and/or heat tolerant crop varieties, an adaptation approach to mitigate impact of climate change on agriculture. ASA-CSSA-SSSA 2011 International Annual Meeting Abstract. October 16-19, 2011. San Antonio, TX. CD-ROM 173-5.
7. Gaus, T. A., W. Xu, Y. Xue, S. Murray, W. P. William, G. Odvody, and T. H. Marek. 2011. Exotic genes from teosinte for improving grain quality and yield in maize. ASA-CSSA-SSSA 2011 Annual International Meetings. Oct. 16-19, 2011. San Antonio, TX, USA. [Abstract]
8. Ledbetter, K., T. Marek, and W. Xu. 2011. New AgriLife Research germplasm, irrigation management make a difference in corn production. *AgriLife Today*. Sep. 27, 2011. One page.
9. Marek, T., W. Xu, Q., X. Xue, J. Michels, and B. Bean. 2011. Potential to produce 200 bushels of corn on 12 inches of irrigation water. One page summary.
10. Marek, T. H., W. Xu, Q. Xue, B. Bean, G. J. Michels, S. H. Amosson, J. Moorehead, N. P. Kenny, J. M. Sweeten, T. A. Howell, P. D. Colaizzi, and P. Gowda. 2011. 2010 North Plains Research Field 12-200 limited irrigation corn production study. Texas AgriLife Research-Amarillo. AREC 2011-12. 57 pages.
11. Marek, T. H., W. Xu, Q. Xue, G. J. Michels, and B. Bean. 2011. Potential to produce 200 bushels of corn on 12 inches of irrigation water. *North Plains Water News*. Dumas, TX. Spring 2011. 1 page.
12. Marek, T. H., W. Xu, Q. Xue, G. J. Michels, and B. W. Bean. 2011. Potential to produce 200 bushels of corn on 12 inches of irrigation water. Amarillo AREC Fact sheet.
13. Gaus, T. A., W. Xu, Y. Xue, S. Murray, W. Paul William, G. Odvody, and T. Marek. 2011. Exotic genes from teosinte for improving grain quality and yield in maize. ASA-CSSA-SSSA

- 2011 International Annual Meeting Abstract. October 16-19, 2011. San Antonio, TX. CD-ROM 221-9.
14. Warburton, M. L., W. P. Williams, G. W. Windham, S. C. Murray, W. Xu, L. H. Hawkins, C. Daves, and B. Henry. 2011. Phenotypic characterization of a maize association mapping panel developed for the identification of *Aspergillus flavus* and aflatoxin accumulation resistance genes. ASA-CSSA-SSA 2011 International Annual Meetings. October 16-19, Santonio, TX. CD-ROM 91-8.
  15. Xu, W., G. Odvody, P. Williams, and Y. Xue. 2011. New corn germplasm with improved stress tolerance and aflatoxin resistance. ASA-CSSA-SSA 2011 International Annual Meetings. October 16-19, San Antonio, TX. CD-ROM 91-7. 4.
  16. Xu, W., G. Odvody, P. Williams, and Y. Xue. 2011. New corn germplasm with improved stress tolerance and aflatoxin resistance. ASA-CSSA-SSA 2011 International Annual Meetings. October 16-19, Santonio, TX. CD-ROM 91-7.
  17. Xu, W., T. H. Marek, Y. Yu, A. Cranmer, B. W. Bean, and D. Pietsch. 2011. 2011 State Silage Corn Performance Test on the Texas High Plains. Texas AgriLife Research and Extension-Lubbock Center Technical Report No.11-4. pp.8.
  18. Xu, W., Y. Xue, G. Odvody, and P. Williams. 2011. Breeding corn resistant to diseases endemic to the Southern States. In Genetics of Maize Disease Workshop. February 20-23, 2011. Raleigh, NC. Abstract Page. 11-12.
  19. Xu, W., Y. Xue, M. Blanco, W. P. Williams, and G. Odvody. 2011. Index and sampling size for evaluating corn earworm injury to corn ears. NCCC167 Corn Breeding Group Annual Meeting. March 17-17, St Charles, IL.
  20. Xue, Q., T. Marek, B. Bean, W. Xu, G. J. Michels, K. Jessup, and J. Becker. 2011. Physiological determination of yield in corn hybrids under limited irrigation in the Texas High Plains. Proceedings of ASA-CSSA-SSSA 2011 Annual Meetings. San Antonio, TX. 10/16-19/11. 1 page.
  21. Xue, Q., T. Marek, B. Bean, W. Xu, J. Michels, K. Jesup, and J. Becker. 2011. Physiological determination of yield in corn hybrids under limited irrigation in the Texas High Plains. ASA-CSSA-SSSA 2011 International Annual Meeting Abstract. October 16-19, 2011. San Antonio, TX. CD-ROM 93-11.
  22. Xue, Y., W. Xu, G. Odvody, and P. Williams. 2011. Breeding low-aflatoxin corn inbred lines. In Genetics of Maize Disease Workshop, February 20-23, 2011. Raleigh, NC.

Table 1. Grain yield (bu/a, adjusted to 15.5% moisture) of testcrosses of the lines developed from CUBA117:S15 and DK888:N11 (entries 11, 12, and 13) under well-watered conditions at Etter and severe drought (DRT) conditions in Halfway (HF) and Lubbock (LB), Texas in 2011. This test had 50 entries.

ENO	Hybrid	Etter	LBB-DRT1	HF-DRT	LBB-DRT2	Mean
1	C2B5BM1 x NS	192	127	66	48	108
4	C2A554-4 x SS	159	92	133	43	107
6	C25B76-2 x NS	248	131	132	24	134
8	C2A632-2 x NS	205	125	111	43	121
11	CUBA117:S15-1 x NS	197	131	62	50	110
12	DK888:N11-5 x SS	282	104	67	na	151
13	DK888:N11-7 x SS	185	124	112	31	113
20	B3C2B5-1 x NS	220	97	139	49	126
22	B3C2B5-4 x NS	231	124	93	36	121
23	B3C2B5-5 x NS	223	107	96	33	115
25	B3C2B5-7 x NS	229	123	105	33	123
29	B3C2B5-14 x NS	207	132	110	38	122
30	B3C2B5-15 x NS	252	134	60	35	121
33	B3C2B5-20 x NS	232	148	109	21	128
34	B3C2B5-23 x NS	229	158	70	46	126
41	S3C2B6 x NS	260	127	101	33	130
43	S3C2B8 x NS	214	132	104	40	123
44	S3C2B9 x NS	209	156	86	33	121
45	S3C2B10 x NS	225	149	104	19	124
46	BH8928VTTP (CK1)	224	95	143	63	131
47	DKC66-23 (CK2)	241	122	61	25	112
48	DKC67-87 (CK3)	274	129	121	32	139
49	P31G96 (CK4)	251	136	104	52	136
50	P33D49 (CK5)	250	146	125	42	141
	Test mean	209	124	87	33	113
	CV%	13	14	25	33	
	LSD 0.05	56	36	44	22	

Table 2. Aflatoxin (ppb) of the Southeastern Regional Aflatoxin Test (SERAT) at College Station, TX and Starkville, MS in 2011. Plants in both locations were inoculated with high aflatoxin producing strains of *A. flavus*. Experimental hybrid Tx-WX11-4 is the testcross of a line developed from BR52051:N04 while Tx-WX-11-9 is the testcross of a line derived from and CUBA117:S15.

Hybrids	Aflatoxin (ppb)		Grain yield (bu/a)
	Starkville	College Station	College Station
Tx-WX11-4	84 a	353 ab	138.6 bcde
Tx-WX11-9	107 a	373 a	162.1 a
P31G98	114 a	570 ab	160.8 a
TAMU-7	120 a	463 ab	127.8 def
TAMU-6	151 a	860 ab	131.3 cde
TAMU-5	152 a	340 a	143.5 abcde
TAMU-1	159 a	980 ab	130.1 cdef
DK697	159 a	917 ab	161.7 a
TAMU-2	226 a	513 ab	139.1 bcde
Tx-WX11-1	243 a	737 ab	144.4 abcd
GT-1	270 a	757 ab	113.2 gf
GT-4	289 a	847 ab	114.8 gf
Tx-WX11-8	303 ab	817 ab	125.1 def
GT-3	310 ab	750 ab	140.1 bcde
Tx-WX11-5	354 ab	830 ab	148.8 abc
Tx-WX11-7	388 ab	853 ab	105.8 g
GT-2	406 ab	860 ab	84.5 h
TAMU-2	447 ab	1250 b	138.9 bcde
GT-5	520 b	1267 b	129.4 cdef
TAMU-3	565 b	943 ab	124.0 efg
P31P41	826 bc	697 ab	158.1 a
Tx-WX11-3	1117 bc	693 ab	153.5 ab
Tx-WX11-2	1599 bc	1057 ab	141.2 bcde
Tx-WX11-6	3443 c	1130 ab	142.6 abcde



Table 3. Days to pollen shed, plant and ear height, and grain yield (bu/a) of testcrosses of the lines developed from ANTIG01:N16 at Etter and Halfway, Texas in 2010 and 2011. The 2011 test at Halfway experienced severe heat and drought stress.

Pedigree	Days to pollen		Grain yield-2010		Grain yield -2011	
	Etter	Halfway	Etter	Halfway	Etter	Halfway
ANTIGO1 x SS3	72	71	163.8	205.9	170.4	135.6
ANTIGO3 x SS3	72	69	186.2	219.3	166.7	123.2
ANTIGO4 x SS3	73	69	192.4	214.5	181.0	132.3
ANTIGO5 x SS3	73	70	226.1	207.2	195.0	121.5
ANTIGO6 x SS3	73	70	198.1	206.6	179.8	118.5
ANTIGO7 x SS3	71	69	157.7	215.9	129.5	109.1
ANTIGO8 x SS3	71	71	185.6	237.9	133.4	102.5
ANTIGO9 x SS3	72	67	179.0	228.6	139.8	145.4
ANTIGO11 x SS3	71	68	182.0	234.6	141.7	114.2
ANTIGO13 x SS3	71	70	155.3	227.4	165.1	152.5
ANTIGO14 x SS3	71	70	171.2	227.4	154.0	110.9
ANTIGO15 x SS3	71	70	187.2	222.6	122.7	137.1
ANTIGO17 x SS3	73	70	179.3	225.1	151.3	133.6
ANTIGO19 x SS3	73	71	186.6	212.6	161.2	148.2
DKC66-23 (RM116)	66	65	250.6	249.0	185.1	53.6
DKC67-87 (RM117)	70	67	245.8	249.6	241.0	127.4
Pioneer 34F96 (RM110)	69	64	229.4	215.3	230.2	141.4
82H80GT/CB/LL	69	68	249.0	243.6	204.1	127.5
Testcross mean	72	69	182.2	220.4	150.1	126.7
Test mean	71	69	195.9	224.6	161.0	124.3
CK mean	69	66	243.7	239.4	215.1	112.4
CV%	1	2	11.1	5.1	16.4	13.1
LSD 0.05	1	4	49.5	24.4	54.5	33.8


SS3 is a proprietary stiff stalk tester line.

Table 4. Means of forage yield adjusted to 65% moisture and agronomic traits of the State Silage Corn Performance Test at Etter, Texas in 2011. Four Texas A&M experimental hybrids (WXY10F, WXY11C, WXY11D, and WXY11E) have GEM germplasm. WXY10F is the testcross of a brown midrib line that was developed from a breeding cross made with the GUAT209:N19. WXY11C is the testcross of a line derived from BR52051:N04. WXY11D and WXY11E are the testcrosses of a line from CUBA117:S15.

Hybrid	Company	Days to anthesis	Plant ht., cm	Ear ht., cm	Moist %	Yield Tons/ac	Yield rank
TMF2L872	Mycogen	79.0	306	127.7	68.3	33.26	21
T20911	Mycogen	78.0	307	123.3	66.3	35.35	4
F2F714	Mycogen	77.0	311	130.7	64.3	29.61	41
F2F622	Mycogen	74.7	296	118.0	63.0	28.88	42
GAX-6152	Golden Acres	74.7	271	103.7	66.0	31.48	35
28V71	Golden Acres	75.3	287	107.0	62.1	33.23	22
28V81	Golden Acres	74.7	268	117.0	63.9	35.08	6
28Z47	Golden Acres	77.0	316	128.0	65.3	35.48	3
CF 6120 RR	Golden Acres	79.7	309	136.3	68.8	33.94	13
CF 6126 GT	Golden Acres	79.7	301	126.7	69.8	31.89	32
BH 8895 VTTP	B-H Genetics	76.0	281	101.3	63.9	30.46	40
BH 9018 VTTP	B-H Genetics	77.0	278	109.0	60.0	34.95	7
BH 8719 RR/HXT	B-H Genetics	77.0	293	131.0	66.1	32.44	28
X11152 VTTP	B-H Genetics	74.7	271	103.3	65.6	34.84	9
X10065 VT3	B-H Genetics	72.7	257	102.3	62.3	33.40	18
X10080 GT	B-H Genetics	80.3	281	111.7	64.5	33.62	15
XP 8711	B-H Genetics	76.0	292	104.7	63.5	32.43	29
BH 8860 GT	B-H Genetics	77.0	288	111.3	59.0	33.07	23
X8021	B-H Genetics	78.0	289	108.0	63.8	33.32	20
X10110GTBT11	B-H Genetics	75.3	284	121.0	62.1	32.93	24
DKC 67-88	Monsanto	77.0	288	123.3	61.2	35.13	5
DKC 64-69	Monsanto	74.0	278	100.7	62.7	30.72	39
Integra 9650	Wilbur-Ellis	76.0	300	114.7	62.6	33.57	16
Integra 9682	Wilbur-Ellis	78.0	296	128.3	67.2	34.77	10
D56VP69	DynaGro	76.0	270	106.7	61.0	34.36	12
V5683 VT3	DynaGro	79.7	287	108.7	63.1	36.19	1
CX 11615	DynaGro	76.0	286	103.3	64.2	30.96	37
CX 11417	DynaGro	74.7	276	110.0	64.8	31.75	34
70R50	Blue River	72.7	260	93.3	60.4	28.25	43
71M36	Blue River	74.7	287	115.7	65.5	32.78	25
73B33	Blue River	76.0	288	104.3	64.9	32.76	26
76H50	Blue River	77.0	272	105.3	64.4	33.56	17
WXY10D	Texas A&M	78.0	294	131.3	69.5	34.44	11
WXY10F	Texas A&M	79.0	297	116.3	66.5	31.11	36
WXY11D	Texas A&M	77.0	274	121.7	63.0	34.94	8

Hybrid	Company	Days to anthesis	Plant ht., cm	Ear ht., cm	Moist %	Yield Tones/ac	Yield rank
WXY11A	Texas A&M	77.0	289	117.3	67.7	32.12	31
WXY11B	Texas A&M	77.0	293	118.0	67.8	33.33	19
WXY11C	Texas A&M	77.0	301	129.0	65.2	35.66	2
WXY11D	Texas A&M	74.7	283	106.7	63.5	31.83	33
WXY11E	Texas A&M	76.0	279	105.3	64.0	32.66	27
17254	Triumph	74.7	292	110.7	66.2	33.72	14
2288H	Triumph	81.0	302	127.0	68.2	30.89	38
1956H	Triumph	78.0	294	122.0	64.5	32.24	30
Test mean		76.6	288	114.9	64.6	32.96	
CV%		1.7	4.3	11.6	3.0	6.85	
LSD 0.05		2.2	19.9	21.6	3.1	3.66	


Appendix 1. A presented at the 2011 International Meeting of ASA-CAAS-SSSA Annual Meetings in San Antonio, TX on October 16-20, 2011.



## Introgression of Teosinte Genes for Improving Yield and Disease Resistance in Maize

Teresa A. Gaus<sup>1,2</sup>, Wenwei Xu<sup>2,1</sup>, Yingen Xue<sup>2</sup>, Seth Murray<sup>3</sup>, W. Paul Williams<sup>4</sup>, Gary Odvody<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX; <sup>2</sup>Texas AgriLife Research, Lubbock, TX; <sup>3</sup>Texas A&M University, College Station, TX; <sup>4</sup>USDA-ARS, Mississippi State, MS; <sup>5</sup>Texas AgriLife Research, Corpus Christi, TX.



### Introduction

Teosinte is an important genetic source to improve maize for abiotic and biotic stress tolerance. Aflatoxins are carcinogenic secondary metabolites produced by *Aspergillus flavus*, and tend to be problematic in areas of environmental stress. Testcrosses from teosinte-derived lines (teo-lines) have been observed in the field with ears having less mold growth than commercial hybrids. The objective of this study was to quantify grain yield and quality of testcrosses derived from teo-lines and compare to commercial hybrids.

### Abstract

Exotic germplasm, including wild species, is important to increase genetic diversity in domesticated crops. A set of inbred lines were developed by first crossing *Zea mays* ssp. *mexicana* Race Chalco with BSSS, and then followed by three rounds of backcrossing to elite maize lines. Data suggest that the testcrosses of the teo-lines have on-par yield performance and significantly lower aflatoxin accumulation as compared to the commercial hybrids.

### Results

Weslaco 2010 and 2011 data showed the testcrosses of Teo-line41/42, 43, 48, and 49 have higher resistance to aflatoxin accumulation and on par yield with commercial hybrids. Yields for 2010 in College Station and Halfway followed a similar trend. Yields from College Station in 2011 are comparable to the commercial hybrids. Teo-line51/52, and 54 have high yields, but also high aflatoxin accumulation.


### Yield and Aflatoxin of Teo-lines in Weslaco, TX

Hybrid	Aflatoxin (ppb)		Log Aflatoxin		Yield (kg/ha)		% Check	
	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011
Teo-line 41/42 x NS1	0.5	21.0	0.3	2.4	8484	10480	856	92
Teo-line 43 x NS1	2.3	5.9	0.9	1.7	9283	10730	94	94
Teo-line 48 x NS1	3.5	20.0	1.4	1.9	9234	11549	94	101
Teo-line 49 x NS1	0.4	14	0.4	2.6	8470	9770	86	86
Teo-line 51/52 x NS1	340	168	5.8	4.9	10233	10854	104	95
Teo-line 54 x NS1	147	28	4.7	2.5	10753	10594	109	93
DKC 66-23	156.7	215.0	4.4	5.2	9366	10881	95	96
Pioneer 31G96	73.0	295.0	4.2	5.7	10017	11033	101	97
Pioneer 33D49	281.0	74.0	4.7	4.3	9799	11540	99	101
Test Mean	165.7	104.2	4.1	3.7	8981	10752	91	95
Check Mean	167.6	149.2	4.6	4.3	9880	11378	100	100
LSD 0.05	297.0	169.0	2.3	2.5	1543	1089		

### Aflatoxin of Teo-lines in Mississippi State, 2011

Hybrid	Aflatoxin (ppb)	Log Aflatoxin
Teo-line 41/42 x NS1	475	6.7
Teo-line 43 x NS1	122	4.8
Teo-line 48 x NS1	309	5.8
Teo-line 49 x NS1	564	6.3
Teo-line 51/52 x NS1	153	5.3
Teo-line 54 x NS1	75	4.3
DKC 66-23	1967	7.8
Pioneer 31G96	216	5.3
Pioneer 33D49	3752	8.2
LSD 0.05		1.26


### Test Locations




### Yield of Teo-lines in College Station & Etter, TX

Hybrid	College Station				Etter			
	Yield (kg/ha)	% Check	Yield (kg/ha)	% Check	Yield (kg/ha)	% Check	Yield (kg/ha)	% Check
Teo-line 41/42 x NS1	10899	11783	99	104	14506	12723	91	75
Teo-line 43 x NS1	10580	11250	96	99	12274	13429	77	79
Teo-line 48 x NS1	11326	11019	103	97	12969	12851	81	75
Teo-line 49 x NS1	9851	9777	89	86	13437	14900	84	87
Teo-line 51/52 x NS1	11496	11593	104	102	13842	15871	87	93
Teo-line 54 x NS1	10737	10667	98	94	15139	13053	95	75
DKC 66-23	10004	11159	91	98	15418	16493	97	97
Pioneer 31G96	11449	12281	104	108	16221	16993	101	99
Pioneer 33D49	11758	10462	107	92	16408	16985	103	99
Test Mean	10778	10619	98	93	14131	14236	88	83
Check Mean	11016	11327	100	100	15994	17087	100	100
LSD 0.05	2227	1735			2370	3160		

#### Teo-line 41/42 x NS1 Weslaco, TX 2010



#### DKC 66-23 Weslaco, TX 2010



### Materials and Methods

Forty-five testcrosses of the teo-lines and five commercial hybrids were tested in multiple locations. A randomized complete block design with two replications was used. Each plot consisted of two-rows, and size varied among locations. The plants were inoculated by spreading *A. flavus* colonized kernels between rows at Weslaco, or by injecting conidia solution into the silk channel. Ten inoculated ears per plot were harvested for aflatoxin analysis and ear traits. The remaining plants were combined for yield. The grain samples were used in the Vicam fluorometer assay for aflatoxin. Statistical analyses were performed in SAS.

### Conclusions

Testcrosses of the teo-lines yielded as high, or higher, than commercial hybrids across multiple locations and years. Some are also more resistant to aflatoxin accumulation than other hybrids. The majority of the testcrosses that are aflatoxin resistant also have yields that are not statistically different than the commercial checks.

Appendix 2. 2011 State Silage Corn Performance Test on the Teas High Plains.

Wenwei Xu<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Marek<sup>2</sup>, Yongtao Yu<sup>3</sup>, Andy Cranmer<sup>4</sup>, Brent Bean<sup>5</sup>, and Dennis Pietsch<sup>6</sup>

### Introduction

Silage corn production is an important part of the economy in Texas High Plains. In 2010, Texas planted a total of 2.3 million acres of corn for both grain and silage, and harvested 140,000 acres for silage with an average silage yield of 18.0 tons per acre (USDA National Agricultural Statistical Services, [www.nass.usda.gov](http://www.nass.usda.gov)). Most of the silage corn in Texas is grown on the High Plains where a number of dairies and cattle feedlots are located. The Texas State Silage Corn Performance Test in the High Plains was initiated in 2007, and has been conducted at the North Plains Research Field at Etter and the Texas AgriLife Research Station at Halfway (Xu et al, 2007; 2009, 2010). Commercial seed companies have an opportunity to enter hybrids at either or both test sites on a fee basis. To our knowledge, this is the only public field testing available on the Texas High Plains. Our goal is to provide producers with timely and unbiased production information on yield, quality, and agronomic traits.

### 2011 State Silage Corn Performance Test at Etter

**Field operation:** The Texas Panhandle test was conducted under a center pivot system at the Texas AgriLife North Plains Research Field near Etter. It involved 35 commercial hybrids and seven experimental hybrids from the Texas AgriLife Research corn breeding program located in Lubbock (Table 1). Hybrids F2F622 and F2F714 contain the brown midrib trait. This test used a randomized complete block design with three replications, four-row plots, 18 feet in length, a 30-inch row spacing, and 2-foot alleys. The test was planted on April 29 and harvested on September 3, 2011. Granular urea and mono-ammonium phosphate were broadcast on March 21 at the rate of 336 lbs N/ac and 157 lbs P/ac (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>). Fertilizers were incorporated into the soil by disking twice. Seedbeds were listed on April 11 using a lister-bottom plow. Rows were concentric to the pivot tracks, but the plots were generally oriented with a north to south direction. The previous crop was wheat, followed by summer fallow. A mix of Bicep II Magnum at the rate of 2.1 qt./ac and Balance Flex at the rate of 3 oz./ac was applied on May 4, 2011, and incorporated into soil by using a rolling cultivator. Lorsban 15G was applied at 6.5 lbs/ac at planting to control corn rootworm. At the three-leaf stage, seedlings were hand-thinned to a uniform target population of 32,912 plants/a. Due to the lack of seasonal rainfall and limited startup soil moisture, the field was irrigated prior to planting and irrigation was applied throughout the season in a total of 20 irrigation events. A total of 34.3 acre-inches water was pumped from planting to harvest with effective irrigation computed at 30.8 inches due to the abnormally intense and sustained meteorological conditions. In-season rainfall only totaled 2.4 inches with effective crop rainfall being computed as 0.8 inches. Seasonal irrigation timing and management was maintained at no more than a 55% depletion level of field capacity between irrigations to prevent water stress.

<sup>1</sup>Corn Breeder, Texas AgriLife Research, Lubbock; <sup>2</sup>Irrigation Engineer, Texas AgriLife Research, Amarillo; <sup>3</sup>Visiting Scientist, Texas AgriLife Research, Lubbock; <sup>4</sup>Farm Research Services Manager, Texas AgriLife Research, Halfway; <sup>5</sup>Agronomist, Texas AgriLife Extension, Amarillo; and <sup>6</sup>Director, Crop Testing Program, Texas AgriLife Research, College Station. Corresponding author: W. Xu, Tel. 806-746-4015, E-mail: [we-xu@tamu.edu](mailto:we-xu@tamu.edu).

Data was recorded on stand, flowering dates, plant and ear height, root and stalk lodging, and moisture content at harvest. The two center rows of each plot were harvested on September 3 (average milk line being at 50%) using a John Deere 5200 small-plot silage chopper equipped with a Hagie silage plot weighing system. Plants were cut 5 inches above the ground surface. Approximately 2 lbs of a chopped sub-sample were collected from each plot, weighed for fresh weight, dried at 50°C, weighed for dry weight, and then analyzed for silage quality using NIR methods by the Dairy One Forage Lab (Ithaca, NY). The moisture content was calculated by using the fresh and dry weight of the sub-samples.

**Results:** Weather during the 2011 crop season was highly unusual: consistently windy, cool from planting to early-May, hot from late-May through harvest, and very low rainfall during the season. The cold and windy conditions lasted several days after planting, and as a result, plants in most plots were below the target population of 32,912 plants per acre.

Most hybrids had a reported relative maturity of 116-118 days. The average day from planting to pollen shedding was 77 days. A few hybrids flowered much earlier or later (Table 1). Plant height ranged from 8.4 ft to 10.4 ft. Stalk and root lodging at the harvest time was either zero or negligible, and therefore, not reported.

Forage yields of the 43 hybrids tested at the Etter location differed significantly, ranging from 28.3 to 36.2 tons/ac, with an average of 33.0 tons/ac at the adjusted 65% moisture level. The top five yielding hybrids were DynaGro V5683 VT3 (36.2 tons/ac), Texas AgriLife WXY11C (35.7 tons/ac), Golden Acres 28Z47 (35.1 tons/ac), Mycogen T20911 (35.4 tons/ac), and Monsanto DKC67-88 (35.1 tons/ac). But no hybrids yielded significantly higher than the test mean. Two hybrids (Blue River 70R50 and Mycogen F2F622) yielded significantly lower than the test mean, primarily due to lower plant counts per plot.

The whole plant moisture at harvest was 64.6% ranging from 59.0% (BH8860GT) to 69.5% (CF6126 GT). The low C.V. values of 6.98% for forage yield and 3.0% for forage moisture indicated that this was, in general, a good and uniform test.

Silage quality was assayed with NIR. Most of the quality traits were significantly different among the entries (Table 2). Nutritionists use different quality traits depending on their needs, but TDN and IVTD24 are commonly used to represent forage digestibility. In this test, IVTD24 values ranged from 69.67% to 82.33% with an average of 76.7%. Mycogen F2F714 is a brown midrib silage hybrid and had the highest IVTD24 value among the 43 hybrids. A high value of TDN and IVTD24 means higher digestibility per ton of silage. Digestibility of corn silage is highly correlated to the amount of grain produced and chemical composition of stalks. A good silage hybrid should have high values in the tonnage, protein, starch, and digestibility and low values in ADF, NDF, and lignin.

### **2011 State Silage Corn Performance Test at Halfway**

This test was conducted under a center pivot system field at the Texas AgriLife Research Station at Halfway and had 27 commercial hybrids and 8 experimental hybrids from the Texas AgriLife Research corn breeding program located in Lubbock. Design and data collection was similar to the Etter test. Unfortunately, the test plots were located in a sloped area of the center pivot. The extremely hot weather and low well capacity lead to uneven plant growth and development. After the test was harvested and data analyzed, it was determined that there y gtg confounding factors from the data and it was subsequently decided to not publish the results because of these impacts.

These results are available at the State Crop Performance Test Program (<http://varietytesting.tamu.edu>) and the Texas AgriLife Research Lubbock Center websites (<http://lubbock.tamu.edu>). These results will help producers, Extension specialists and consultants select commercial hybrids best suited for the Texas High Plains.

The citation of this research can be referenced as: Wenwei Xu, Thomas Marek, Yongtao Yu, Andy Cranmer, Brent Bean, and Dennis Pietsch. 2011. 2011 State Silage Corn Performance Test on the Texas High Plains. Texas AgriLife Research and Extension-Lubbock Center Technical Report No.11-4. pp.8.

### **References**

- Wenwei Xu, Bruce Spinhirne, Thomas Marek, Brent Bean, and Dennis Pietsch. 2007. Silage corn hybrids for the Texas High Plains. TAES-Lubbock Center Technical Publication No.07-2. pp.2.
- Wenwei Xu, Thomas Marek, Bruce Spinhirne, Bruce Carlson, Travis John, Brent Bean, and Dennis Pietsch. 2009. 2009 State Silage Corn Performance Test in the Texas High Plains. Texas AgriLife Research and Extension-Lubbock Center Technical Report No.09-4. pp.10.
- Wenwei Xu, Thomas Marek, Andy Cranmer, Bruce Carlson, Jonny Beck, Brent Bean, and Dennis Pietsch. 2010. 2010 State Silage Corn Performance Test on the Texas High Plains. Texas AgriLife Research and Extension-Lubbock Center Technical Report No.10-3. pp.12.

Table 1. Means of forage yield adjusted to 65% moisture and agronomic traits of the State Silage Corn Performance Test at Etter, Texas in 2011.

ENO	Hybrid	Company	RM	Trait	Stand %	Days to anthesis	Plant ht., in	Ear ht. in	Moist %	Yield tons/ac	% Test mean	Yield rank	Duncan's test
1	TMF2L872	Mycogen	119	HX1/RR	80.1	79.0	120.6	50.3	68.3	33.3	100.9	21	a-j
2	T20911	Mycogen	118	HX1/RR	82.6	78.0	120.7	48.6	66.3	35.4	107.3	4	a-d
3	F2F714	Mycogen	112	HXT/RR/BMR	90.2	77.0	122.6	51.4	64.3	29.6	89.9	41	j-l
4	F2F622	Mycogen	110	HXT/RR/BMR	83.8	74.7	116.7	46.5	63.0	28.9	87.6	42	kl
5	GAX-6152	Golden Acres	118	VT3P	91.7	74.7	106.8	40.8	66.0	31.5	95.5	35	e-l
6	28V71	Golden Acres	117	VT3P	81.1	75.3	113.0	42.1	62.1	33.2	100.8	22	a-j
7	28V81	Golden Acres	118	VT3P	76.3	74.7	105.4	46.1	63.9	35.1	106.4	6	a-e
8	28Z47	Golden Acres	118	RR	91.4	77.0	124.4	50.4	65.3	35.5	107.7	3	a-c
9	CF 6120 RR	Golden Acres	122	RR	79.5	79.7	121.7	53.7	68.8	33.9	103.0	13	a-i
10	CF 6126 GT	Golden Acres	120	GT	79.8	79.7	118.4	49.9	69.8	31.9	96.8	32	c-l
11	BH 8895 VTTP	B-H Genetics	118	GENVT3P	84.6	76.0	110.5	39.9	63.9	30.5	92.4	40	i-l
12	BH 9018 VTTP	B-H Genetics	119	GENVT3P	87.1	77.0	109.3	42.9	60.0	35.0	106.0	7	a-e
13	BH 8719 RR/HXT	B-H Genetics	117	RR/HXT/LL	82.1	77.0	115.2	51.6	66.1	32.4	98.4	28	b-k
14	X11152 VTTP	B-H Genetics	117	GENVT3P	83.8	74.7	106.7	40.7	65.6	34.8	105.7	9	a-e
15	X10065 VT3	B-H Genetics	116	VT3	97.0	72.7	101.1	40.3	62.3	33.4	101.3	18	a-i
16	X10080 GT	B-H Genetics	115	GT	83.6	80.3	110.6	44.0	64.5	33.6	102.0	15	a-i
17	XP 8711	B-H Genetics	116	NONE	90.4	76.0	114.8	41.2	63.5	32.4	98.4	29	b-k
18	BH 8860 GT	B-H Genetics	116	GT	88.4	77.0	113.4	43.8	59.0	33.1	100.3	23	a-j
19	X8021	B-H Genetics	115	None	91.4	78.0	113.8	42.5	63.8	33.3	101.1	20	a-i
20	X10110GTBT11	B-H Genetics	116	GT/CB/LL	88.6	75.3	111.9	47.6	62.1	32.9	99.9	24	a-j
21	DKC 67-88	Monsanto	117	GENVT3P	92.7	77.0	113.5	48.6	61.2	35.1	106.6	5	a-e
22	DKC 64-69	Monsanto	114	VT3	93.4	74.0	109.6	39.6	62.7	30.7	93.2	39	h-l
23	Integra 9650	Wilbur-Ellis	115	VTPRO	97.2	76.0	118.2	45.1	62.6	33.6	101.8	16	a-i
24	Integra 9682	Wilbur-Ellis	118	VT3	72.5	78.0	116.4	50.5	67.2	34.8	105.5	10	a-f
25	D56VP69	DynaGro	116	RR/CB/CRW	92.2	76.0	106.2	42.0	61.0	34.4	104.3	12	a-h



Table 1. Means of forage yield adjusted to 65% moisture and agronomic traits of the State Silage Corn Performance Test at Etter, Texas in 2011 (continued).

ENO	Hybrid	Company	RM	Trait	Stand %	Days to anthesis	Plant ht., in	Ear ht., in	Moist %	Yield Tons/ac	% Test mean	Yield rank	Duncan's test
26	V5683 VT3	DynaGro	116	RR/CB/CRW	85.4	79.7	113.1	42.8	63.1	36.2	109.8	1	a
27	CX 11615	DynaGro	115	GT/CB	89.4	76.0	112.6	40.7	64.2	31.0	93.9	37	g-l
28	CX 11417	DynaGro	117	RR/CB/CRW	80.6	74.7	108.8	43.3	64.8	31.8	96.3	34	d-l
29	70R50	Blue River	114	None	78.0	72.7	102.4	36.7	60.4	28.3	85.7	43	l
30	71M36	Blue River	114	None	82.1	74.7	113.0	45.5	65.5	32.8	99.4	25	a-j
31	73B33	Blue River	115	None	91.4	76.0	113.5	41.1	64.9	32.8	99.4	26	a-j
32	76H50	Blue River	115	None	90.4	77.0	107.1	41.5	64.4	33.6	101.8	17	a-i
33	WXY10D	TX AgriLife		None	79.8	78.0	115.7	51.7	69.5	34.4	104.5	11	a-g
34	WXY10F	TX AgriLife		None	75.3	79.0	117.1	45.8	66.5	31.1	94.4	36	f-l
35	WXY11D	TX AgriLife		None	94.4	77.0	107.9	47.9	63.0	34.9	106.0	8	a-e
36	WXY11A	TX AgriLife		VT3	65.4	77.0	113.8	46.2	67.7	32.1	97.5	31	b-k
37	WXY11B	TX AgriLife		VT3	79.3	77.0	115.4	46.5	67.8	33.3	101.1	19	a-i
38	WXY11C	TX AgriLife		None	93.7	77.0	118.4	50.8	65.2	35.7	108.2	2	ab
39	WXY11D	TX AgriLife		VT3	88.1	74.7	111.5	42.0	63.5	31.8	96.6	33	c-l
40	WXY11E	TX AgriLife		VT3	96.7	76.0	109.8	41.5	64.0	32.7	99.1	27	a-j
41	17254	Triumph	117	HX1/RR	92.2	74.7	115.1	43.6	66.2	33.7	102.3	14	a-i
42	2288H	Triumph	118	HX1/RR	63.9	81.0	118.8	50.0	68.2	30.9	93.7	38	g-l
43	1956H	Triumph	122	HX1/RR	76.0	78.0	115.7	48.0	64.5	32.2	97.8	30	b-k
	Test mean				85.2	76.6	113.3	45.2	64.6	33.0	100.0		
	CV%				6.7	1.7	4.3	11.6	3.0	6.9			
	LSD 0.05				9.2	2.2	19.9	21.6	3.1	3.7			

Note: ENO = entry number, RM = relative maturity, YG= Yield Guard insect resistance, HX= Herculex insect resistance, RR2= Roundup Ready Corn 2 herbicide resistance; VT3 = CRW + RR2 + YG. BM3 = brown midrib conferred by *bm3* gene.

Stand = Percent of the target plants 32,912 plants per acre. Hybrid yields with the same letters are not significantly different from each other at 5% level.

Table 2. Forage quality of the State Silage Corn Performance Test at Etter, Texas in 2011.

ENO	Hybrid	Company	CP	ADF	NDF	Lignin	Starch	TDN	IVTD24	IVTD24	Duncan's	NDFD24	MILK1	MILK2
										rank	test			
1	TMF2L872	Mycogen	8.5	28.0	46.7	4.1	25.1	70.0	75.3	30	b-h	47.3	2971.0	3173.7
2	T20911	Mycogen	8.2	27.1	45.0	3.7	30.6	72.0	75.3	31	b-h	45.7	2955.0	3203.0
3	F2F714	Mycogen	9.0	23.6	39.5	3.7	35.9	75.0	82.3	1	a	54.0	3148.7	3439.3
4	F2F622	Mycogen	8.6	24.7	43.2	3.4	32.1	74.0	79.7	6	a-d	53.0	3076.7	3337.3
5	GAX-6152	Golden Acres	8.8	23.5	40.0	3.7	33.3	74.0	79.7	7	a-d	49.7	3027.3	3296.7
6	28V71	Golden Acres	8.7	23.9	40.7	3.5	33.3	73.0	77.3	20	a-g	44.3	2968.3	3238.7
7	28V81	Golden Acres	8.6	25.9	44.2	4.1	29.9	71.0	74.7	36	b-h	43.3	2910.3	3152.0
8	28Z47	Golden Acres	8.7	23.0	39.3	3.6	37.4	74.0	78.7	12	a-f	46.0	3022.7	3325.3
9	CF 6120 RR	Golden Acres	9.3	28.8	47.3	4.6	23.3	69.0	74.3	37	c-h	45.7	2981.0	3170.0
10	CF 6126 GT	Golden Acres	8.9	31.9	51.1	4.8	18.4	64.0	69.7	43	h	41.0	2562.3	2712.0
11	BH 8895 VTTP	B-H Genetics	8.2	21.9	37.7	3.0	39.4	75.0	80.0	5	a-c	47.0	3025.0	3344.7
12	BH 9018 VTTP	B-H Genetics	8.4	26.4	45.1	3.8	30.7	72.0	75.7	28	b-g	46.0	2938.7	3187.7
13	BH 8719 RR/HXT	B-H Genetics	8.3	26.5	43.8	3.9	30.1	71.0	75.3	32	b-h	44.0	2892.7	3136.7
14	X11152 VTTP	B-H Genetics	8.7	22.4	39.4	3.4	34.9	76.0	79.7	8	a-d	47.7	3143.0	3426.3
15	X10065 VT3	B-H Genetics	8.6	24.8	41.7	3.9	32.4	73.0	77.0	23	a-g	45.3	3017.0	3279.7
16	X10080 GT	B-H Genetics	8.7	27.6	45.9	4.1	27.6	70.0	74.0	39	d-h	43.3	2850.3	3073.7
17	XP 8711	B-H Genetics	8.2	24.6	41.2	3.7	36.2	74.0	78.7	13	a-f	48.0	3075.0	3368.3
18	BH 8860 GT	B-H Genetics	7.8	26.1	44.3	3.5	32.8	72.0	75.7	29	b-g	44.3	2901.0	3167.3
19	X8021	B-H Genetics	8.6	25.3	42.5	3.4	32.7	73.0	77.3	21	a-g	47.0	2996.7	3262.0
20	X10110GTBT11	B-H Genetics	8.3	22.3	38.6	3.5	38.5	74.0	78.3	14	a-f	43.3	2977.0	3289.0
21	DKC 67-88	Monsanto	8.5	24.8	42.9	3.5	32.0	73.0	77.3	22	a-g	46.7	2991.7	3250.7
22	DKC 64-69	Monsanto	8.4	22.0	38.0	3.5	37.8	75.0	80.3	2	ab	48.7	3075.7	3382.3
23	Integra 9650	Wilbur-Ellis	7.6	25.9	43.2	3.3	33.2	71.0	75.3	33	b-h	43.3	2833.3	3102.3
24	Integra 9682	Wilbur-Ellis	9.3	21.8	37.7	3.7	35.5	75.0	80.3	3	ab	48.7	3149.7	3437.0
25	D56VP69	DynaGro	8.5	24.8	42.5	3.4	32.9	74.0	78.0	16	a-g	48.0	3063.0	3329.7

Table 2. Forage quality of the State Silage Corn Performance Test at Etter, Texas in 2011 (continued).

ENO	Hybrid	Company	CP	ADF	NDF	Lignin	Starch	TDN	IVTD24	IVTD24 rank	Duncan's test	NDFD24	MILK1	MILK2
26	V5683 VT3	DynaGro	9.4	24.9	43.5	3.3	32.5	73.0	78.0	17	a-g	49.3	3024.0	3287.3
27	CX 11615	DynaGro	8.3	22.7	38.9	3.5	37.0	75.0	79.7	9	a-d	46.7	3048.0	3347.7
28	CX 11417	DynaGro	8.0	30.5	50.4	4.1	25.6	68.0	72.3	42	gh	45.0	2795.7	3003.3
29	70R50	Blue River	8.7	23.2	39.6	3.4	35.4	76.0	79.7	10	a-d	48.3	3130.0	3417.3
30	71M36	Blue River	8.4	27.4	45.8	3.6	28.9	72.0	76.7	24	a-g	49.0	3008.0	3242.3
31	73B33	Blue River	8.8	24.1	41.2	3.2	33.7	74.0	78.0	18	a-g	46.7	3040.7	3313.7
32	76H50	Blue River	9.0	24.1	40.9	3.6	34.0	73.0	78.3	15	a-f	46.7	3005.0	3280.3
33	WXY10D	TX AgriLife	9.2	22.1	38.0	3.7	35.1	75.0	80.3	4	ab	48.3	3148.0	3432.7
34	WXY10F	TX AgriLife	9.0	25.8	43.6	3.7	30.5	72.0	77.7	19	a-g	48.7	3009.7	3257.0
35	WXY11D	TX AgriLife	8.7	25.6	43.0	3.6	32.3	72.0	76.7	25	a-g	46.3	2946.3	3208.0
36	WXY11A	TX AgriLife	8.5	27.8	47.1	3.8	25.1	70.0	75.0	35	b-h	47.0	2936.0	3139.0
37	WXY11B	TX AgriLife	9.1	22.9	38.7	3.6	34.5	74.0	79.0	11	a-e	46.3	3054.3	3333.7
38	WXY11C	TX AgriLife	8.2	28.0	46.7	3.7	29.6	71.0	75.3	34	b-g	47.0	2947.3	3187.7
39	WXY11D	TX AgriLife	8.4	25.1	42.8	3.5	31.3	71.0	76.3	27	b-g	44.3	2877.0	3131.3
40	WXY11E	TX AgriLife	8.0	28.5	47.5	4.0	26.8	68.0	73.0	41	f-h	42.7	2772.0	2989.3
41	17254	Triumph	8.5	26.3	44.6	3.7	30.5	72.0	76.7	26	a-g	47.7	2972.7	3220.0
42	2288H	Triumph	9.0	27.7	46.9	3.9	25.4	71.0	74.3	38	c-h	45.3	2967.3	3172.7
43	1956H	Triumph	8.3	27.8	46.3	3.9	28.8	69.0	73.3	40	e-h	42.3	2768.3	3001.7
	Test mean		8.6	25.7	43.4	3.7	30.6	71.6	76.7			46.3	2961.3	3209.8
	CV%		5.4	14.2	12.2	10.1	18.6	4.3	4.6			6.3	5.1	5.8
	LSD 0.05		0.8	ns	ns	0.6	9.6	5.1	5.7			4.8	245.3	306.1

1. IVTD24: *In vitro* true digestibility (IVTD) after 24 hours of incubation in rumen fluid. It measures digestibility and can be used to estimate energy. A higher value of IVTD 24 hr presents a better forage quality.

2. Forage nutritional values based on NIR analysis.

ADF: Acid detergent fiber, a measure of cellulose and lignin. ADF is negatively correlated with overall digestibility.

CP: Crude protein, the total protein in the sample including true protein and non-protein nitrogen.

Lignin: indigestible plant component and has a negative impact on cellulose digestibility.

NDF: Neutral detergent fiber, a measure of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin representing the fibrous bulk of the forage. NDF is negatively correlated with intake

NFC: Percentage of non-fibrous carbohydrates; estimates the amount of rapidly digestible carbohydrates in a forage.

NDFD24: Percentage of NDF that is digestible by *in vitro* incubation.

MILK 1: Estimated lbs. of milk produced per ton of dry matter.

MILK 2: Estimated lbs. of milk produced per ton of processed dry matter.

Starch: primarily in the grain, later maturing hybrids have lower starch since all hybrids were harvested at the same time.

TDN: Total digestible nutrients. It represents the sum of the digestible protein, digestible nitrogen-free extract, digestible crude fiber and 2.25X the digestible fat.

Milk lbs./ton of DM: an estimated potential milk yield per ton of forage dry matter based on digestibility and energy content of the forage.